

## Math 4990 Problem Set 2

*Due Tuesday, Sep 22, 2014 in class*

### INSTRUCTIONS

As before, write your solutions neatly and clearly, with complete justification (*i.e.*, proof). Please don't submit scratchwork. Consider using L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X. Draw figures (possibly by hand) whenever suitable.

Collaboration is encouraged, as long as you

- (1) understand your solutions,
- (2) write your solutions in your own words without copying, and
- (3) **indicate the names of your collaborators.**

Unless otherwise specified, all numberings refer to the textbook [DO].

I wanted to provide you feedback on your first assignment before you do too many more problems (possibly repeating certain stylistic errors from the first homework). As such, I am giving you a short second problem set. Please do not expect all future ones to be of this length.

### ASSIGNMENT

Liberally peruse **pages 8–13** of [DO].

Read the lecture wrap-up section below (on the second page).

Do **Exercise 1.21** (remember, as always, to justify your answer) and **Exercise 1.22** (“for each” and “for any” means the same thing here, you should prove this for *all*  $n \geq 3$ ).

**Problem 3.** Let  $\mathcal{B}_{n+1}$  be the set of binary parenthesizations of a string of  $n + 1$  letters. For example,

$$\mathcal{B}_4 = \{((xx)x)x, x((xx)x), (x(xx))x, x(x(xx)), (xx)(xx)\}.$$

Establish a bijection between  $\mathcal{B}_{n+1}$  with either  $\mathcal{T}_{n+2}$  or  $\mathcal{P}_n$  to conclude that  $|\mathcal{B}_{n+1}| = C_n$ .

**Problem 4.** Optionally, for no credit, pick yet another family of Catalan objects (see below) and establish a bijection to one of the three families we already considered. Make sure to define the objects being considered.

## LECTURE WRAP-UP

**Catalan numbers background.** On the course website is a link to a webpage full of links about Catalan numbers curated by Igor Pak. That webpage includes historical details about Catalan numbers as well as mathematical articles. It may be fun to take a look at the links to Richard Stanley’s “Exercise 19” and “Catalan addendum”—totalling more than 200 families of objects counted by Catalan numbers. Triangulations  $\mathcal{T}_{n+2}$  is (a), the first one listed. The paths  $\mathcal{P}_n$  we discussed is (h) and the parenthesizations in Problem 3 is (b). You can pick one from here for (the optional) Problem 4.

**Alternate proof.** In class, using a series of problems, we proved Theorem 1.19 together. Note that the textbook contains a different proof. This was in your reading last week and re-assigned this week. Please review it if you have not read it.

**Convexity.** At the end of the proof, I was asked where we used convexity. This is a very important question! Any time we finish a proof, it is a good practice to check if we used all the hypotheses. For this case, we are using the fact that a diagonal exists between any two nonadjacent vertices of a convex polygon. This is Lemma 1.18 (again in your reading assignment last week).

**Well-definedness.** At the end of the class, I was a bit rushed to explain why the inverse map  $\mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{n+2}$  is well-defined. To explain, let me first describe the map again.

Let a path from  $\mathcal{P}_n$  be given. Label the right steps  $3, 4, \dots, n+2$ . For each  $v = 3, 4, \dots, n+2$ , in that order, consider the up steps immediately following the corresponding right step. For each up step, pick the largest  $u$  such that  $1 \leq u < v$  and  $uv$  can be drawn as a diagonal without crossing other diagonals already drawn. Draw diagonal  $uv$ . This is the map.

In general, we say a map is *well-defined* if we can always follow its procedure without getting stuck. In this case, we need to check that each time we look for a  $u$  in the process above, we must be able to find at least one (so we can use the largest such  $u$ ).

More specifically, consider the step when  $v = i$ . We are asked to draw at most  $i - 2$  diagonals in total (why?). Therefore, suppose we have drawn at most  $i - 3$  diagonals and are asked to draw one more. Let us consider whether this can be done.

From vertices 1 to  $i$ , we have  $i - 1$  edges, and at most  $i - 3$  diagonals. Choose a point  $q$  on the edge between vertices 1 and  $n + 2$  of the polygon. Each diagonal drawn creates a triangle on the “far side.” That is, from the vantage point of  $q$ , each time a diagonal is drawn, two line segments (edge or diagonal) are obscured by this new diagonal. Conversely, a diagonal can be drawn as long as there are at least 2 line segments remaining. We start with  $i - 1$  line segments (all edges) and decrease the count by at most  $i - 3$ , one for each diagonal drawn, to obtain at least 2 line segments remaining. This means that we can draw another diagonal, as desired.  $\square$